



## Push\_swap

Because Swap\_push doesn't feel as natural

### *Summary:*

*In this project, you will sort data in a stack using a limited set of instructions, aiming to achieve the lowest possible number of actions. To succeed, you will need to work with various algorithms and choose the most appropriate one for optimized data sorting.*

*Version: 10.1*

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Foreword</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>III</b>	<b>Objectives</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>IV</b>	<b>Common Instructions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>V</b>	<b>AI Instructions</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>VI</b>	<b>Mandatory part</b>	<b>10</b>
VI.1	The rules . . . . .	10
VI.2	Example . . . . .	11
VI.3	The "push_swap" program . . . . .	12
VI.4	Benchmark . . . . .	14
<b>VII</b>	<b>Readme Requirements</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>VIII</b>	<b>Bonus part</b>	<b>16</b>
VIII.1	The "checker" program . . . . .	16
<b>IX</b>	<b>Submission and peer-evaluation</b>	<b>18</b>

# Chapter I

## Foreword

- C

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void)
{
    printf("hello, world\n");
    return 0;
}
```

- ASM

```
cseg segment
assume cs:cseg, ds:cseg
org 100h
main proc
jmp debut
mess db 'Hello world!$'
debut:
mov dx, offset mess
mov ah, 9
int 21h
ret
main endp
cseg ends
end main
```

- LOLCODE

```
HAI
CAN HAS STDIO?
VISIBLE "HELLO WORLD!"
KTHXBYE
```

- PHP

```
<?php
echo "Hello world!";
?>
```

- BrainFuck

```
+++++++ [ >+++++>+++++>+++++>+++++<<<<-]
>++.>+.+++++. .+++.>+.
<<+++++>+++++.>+.-----,-----,>+.>.
```

- C#

```
using System;

public class HelloWorld {
    public static void Main () {
        Console.WriteLine("Hello world!");
    }
}
```

- HTML5

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html>
  <head>
    <meta charset="utf-8">
    <title>Hello world !</title>
  </head>
  <body>
    <p>Hello World !</p>
  </body>
</html>
```

- YASL

```
"Hello world!"
print
```

- OCaml

```
let main () =
  print_endline "Hello world !"

let _ = main ()
```

# Chapter II

## Introduction

The **Push swap** project is a simple yet highly structured algorithmic challenge: you need to sort data.

You have at your disposal a set of integer values, 2 stacks, and a set of instructions to manipulate both stacks.

Your goal? Write a C program called `push_swap` that calculates and displays the shortest sequence of `Push_swap` instructions needed to sort the given integers.

Easy?

We'll see...

# Chapter III

## Objectives

Writing a sorting algorithm is always a crucial step in a developer's journey. It is often the first encounter with the concept of [complexity](#).

Sorting algorithms and their complexities are common topics in job interviews. Now is a great time to explore these concepts, as you will likely encounter them in the future. The learning objectives of this project are rigor, proficiency in C, and the application of basic algorithms, with a particular focus on their complexity.

Sorting values is straightforward, but finding the fastest way to sort them is more challenging. The most efficient sorting method can vary depending on the arrangement of integers.

# Chapter IV

## Common Instructions

- Your project must be written in C.
- Your project must be written in accordance with the Norm. If you have bonus files/functions, they are included in the norm check, and you will receive a 0 if there is a norm error.
- Your functions should not quit unexpectedly (segmentation fault, bus error, double free, etc.) except for undefined behavior. If this occurs, your project will be considered non-functional and will receive a 0 during the evaluation.
- All heap-allocated memory must be properly freed when necessary. Memory leaks will not be tolerated.
- If the subject requires it, you must submit a `Makefile` that compiles your source files to the required output with the flags `-Wall`, `-Wextra`, and `-Werror`, using `cc`. Additionally, your `Makefile` must not perform unnecessary relinking.
- Your `Makefile` must contain at least the rules `$(NAME)`, `all`, `clean`, `fclean` and `re`.
- To submit bonuses for your project, you must include a `bonus` rule in your `Makefile`, which will add all the various headers, libraries, or functions that are not allowed in the main part of the project. Bonuses must be placed in `_bonus.{c/h}` files, unless the subject specifies otherwise. The evaluation of mandatory and bonus parts is conducted separately.
- If your project allows you to use your `libft`, you must copy its sources and its associated `Makefile` into a `libft` folder. Your project's `Makefile` must compile the library by using its `Makefile`, then compile the project.
- We encourage you to create test programs for your project, even though this work **does not need to be submitted and will not be graded**. It will give you an opportunity to easily test your work and your peers' work. You will find these tests especially useful during your defence. Indeed, during defence, you are free to use your tests and/or the tests of the peer you are evaluating.
- Submit your work to the assigned Git repository. Only the work in the Git repository will be graded. If Deepthought is assigned to grade your work, it will occur

after your peer-evaluations. If an error happens in any section of your work during Depththought's grading, the evaluation will stop.

# Chapter V

## AI Instructions

### ● Context

During your learning journey, AI can assist with many different tasks. Take the time to explore the various capabilities of AI tools and how they can support your work. However, always approach them with caution and critically assess the results. Whether it's code, documentation, ideas, or technical explanations, you can never be completely sure that your question was well-formed or that the generated content is accurate. Your peers are a valuable resource to help you avoid mistakes and blind spots.

### ● Main message

- 👉 Use AI to reduce repetitive or tedious tasks.
- 👉 Develop prompting skills — both coding and non-coding — that will benefit your future career.
- 👉 Learn how AI systems work to better anticipate and avoid common risks, biases, and ethical issues.
- 👉 Continue building both technical and power skills by working with your peers.
- 👉 Only use AI-generated content that you fully understand and can take responsibility for.

### ● Learner rules:

- You should take the time to explore AI tools and understand how they work, so you can use them ethically and reduce potential biases.
- You should reflect on your problem before prompting — this helps you write clearer, more detailed, and more relevant prompts using accurate vocabulary.
- You should develop the habit of systematically checking, reviewing, questioning, and testing anything generated by AI.
- You should always seek peer review — don't rely solely on your own validation.

## ● Phase outcomes:

- Develop both general-purpose and domain-specific prompting skills.
- Boost your productivity with effective use of AI tools.
- Continue strengthening computational thinking, problem-solving, adaptability, and collaboration.

## ● Comments and examples:

- You'll regularly encounter situations — exams, evaluations, and more — where you must demonstrate real understanding. Be prepared, keep building both your technical and interpersonal skills.
- Explaining your reasoning and debating with peers often reveals gaps in your understanding. Make peer learning a priority.
- AI tools often lack your specific context and tend to provide generic responses. Your peers, who share your environment, can offer more relevant and accurate insights.
- Where AI tends to generate the most likely answer, your peers can provide alternative perspectives and valuable nuance. Rely on them as a quality checkpoint.

### ✓ Good practice:

I ask AI: "How do I test a sorting function?" It gives me a few ideas. I try them out and review the results with a peer. We refine the approach together.

### ✗ Bad practice:

I ask AI to write a whole function, copy-paste it into my project. During peer-evaluation, I can't explain what it does or why. I lose credibility — and I fail my project.

### ✓ Good practice:

I use AI to help design a parser. Then I walk through the logic with a peer. We catch two bugs and rewrite it together — better, cleaner, and fully understood.

### ✗ Bad practice:

I let Copilot generate my code for a key part of my project. It compiles, but I can't explain how it handles pipes. During the evaluation, I fail to justify and I fail my project.

# Chapter VI

## Mandatory part

### VI.1 The rules

- You have 2 *stacks* named **a** and **b**.
- At the beginning:
  - The stack **a** contains a random number of unique negative and/or positive integers.
  - The stack **b** is empty.
- The goal is to sort the numbers in stack **a** in ascending order. To achieve this, you have the following operations at your disposal:

**sa** (**swap a**): Swap the first 2 elements at the top of stack **a**.  
Do nothing if there is only one element or none.

**sb** (**swap b**): Swap the first 2 elements at the top of stack **b**.  
Do nothing if there is only one element or none.

**ss** : **sa** and **sb** at the same time.

**pa** (**push a**): Take the first element at the top of **b** and put it at the top of **a**.  
Do nothing if **b** is empty.

**pb** (**push b**): Take the first element at the top of **a** and put it at the top of **b**.  
Do nothing if **a** is empty.

**ra** (**rotate a**): Shift up all elements of stack **a** by 1.  
The first element becomes the last one.

**rb** (**rotate b**): Shift up all elements of stack **b** by 1.  
The first element becomes the last one.

**rr** : **ra** and **rb** at the same time.

**rra** (**reverse rotate a**): Shift down all elements of stack **a** by 1.  
The last element becomes the first one.

**rrb** (**reverse rotate b**): Shift down all elements of stack **b** by 1.  
The last element becomes the first one.

**rrr** : **rra** and **rrb** at the same time.

## VI.2 Example

To illustrate the effect of some of these instructions, let's sort a random list of integers. In this example, we'll consider that both stacks grow from the right.

```
-----
Init a and b:
2
1
3
6
5
8
- -
a b
-----
Exec sa:
1
2
3
6
5
8
- -
a b
-----
Exec pb pb pb:
6 3
5 2
8 1
- -
a b
-----
Exec ra rb (equiv. to rr):
5 2
8 1
6 3
- -
a b
-----
Exec rra rrb (equiv. to rrr):
6 3
5 2
8 1
- -
a b
-----
Exec sa:
5 3
6 2
8 1
- -
a b
-----
Exec pa pa pa:
1
2
3
5
6
8
- -
a b
-----
```

The integers in stack a get sorted in 12 instructions. Can you do better?

## VI.3 The "push\_swap" program

<b>Program Name</b>	push_swap
<b>Files to Submit</b>	Makefile, *.h, *.c
<b>Makefile</b>	NAME, all, clean, fclean, re
<b>Arguments</b>	stack a: A list of integers
<b>External Function</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• read, write, malloc, free, exit</li><li>• ft_printf or any equivalent YOU coded</li></ul>
<b>Libft authorized</b>	Yes
<b>Description</b>	Sort stacks

Your project must comply with the following rules:

- You have to turn in a **Makefile** which will compile your source files. It must not relink.
- Global variables are forbidden.
- You have to write a program named **push\_swap** that takes as an argument the stack **a** formatted as a list of integers. The first argument should be at the top of the stack (be careful about the order).
- The program must display the shortest sequence of instructions needed to sort stack **a** with the smallest number at the top.
- Instructions must be separated by a '\n' and nothing else.
- The goal is to sort the stack with the lowest possible number of operations. During the evaluation process, the number of instructions found by your program will be compared against a limit: the maximum number of operations tolerated. If your program either displays a longer list or if the numbers aren't sorted properly, your grade will be 0.
- If no parameters are specified, the program must not display anything and should return to the prompt.
- In case of error, it must display "Error" followed by an '\n' on the standard error. Errors include, for example: some arguments not being integers, some arguments exceeding the integer limits, and/or the presence of duplicates.

```
$>./push_swap 2 1 3 6 5 8
sa
pb
pb
pb
sa
pa
pa
pa
$>./push_swap 0 one 2 3
Error
$>
```

During the evaluation process, a binary will be provided in order to properly check your program.

It will work as follows:

```
$>ARG="4 67 3 87 23"; ./push_swap $ARG | wc -l
6
$>ARG="4 67 3 87 23"; ./push_swap $ARG | ./checker_OS $ARG
OK
$>
```

If the program `checker_OS` displays "KO", it means that your `push_swap` came up with a list of instructions that doesn't sort the numbers.



The `checker_OS` program is available in the resources of the project in the intranet. You can find a description of how it works in the Bonus Part of this document.

## VI.4 Benchmark

To validate this project, you must perform certain sorts with a minimal number of operations:

- For **maximum project validation** (100%) and eligibility for bonuses, you must:
  - Sort **100 random numbers in fewer than 700 operations**.
  - Sort **500 random numbers in no more than 5500 operations**.
- For **minimal project validation** (which implies a minimum grade of 80%), you can succeed with different averages:
  - **100 numbers in under 1100 operations and 500 numbers in under 8500 operations**
  - **100 numbers in under 700 operations and 500 numbers in under 11500 operations**
  - **100 numbers in under 1300 operations and 500 numbers in under 5500 operations**

...

All of this will be verified during your evaluation.



If you wish to complete the bonus part, you must thoroughly validate the project with each benchmark step achieving the highest possible score.

# Chapter VII

## Readme Requirements

A README.md file must be provided at the root of your Git repository. Its purpose is to allow anyone unfamiliar with the project (peers, staff, recruiters, etc.) to quickly understand what the project is about, how to run it, and where to find more information on the topic.

The README.md must include at least:

- The very first line must be italicized and read: *This project has been created as part of the 42 curriculum by <login1>[, <login2>[, <login3>[...]]].*
  - A “**Description**” section that clearly presents the project, including its goal and a brief overview.
  - An “**Instructions**” section containing any relevant information about compilation, installation, and/or execution.
  - A “**Resources**” section listing classic references related to the topic (documentation, articles, tutorials, etc.), as well as a description of how AI was used — specifying for which tasks and which parts of the project.
- ➡ **Additional sections may be required depending on the project** (e.g., usage examples, feature list, technical choices, etc.).

Any required additions will be explicitly listed below.



English is recommended; alternatively, you may use the main language of your campus.

# Chapter VIII

## Bonus part

Due to its simplicity, this project offers limited opportunities for additional features. However, why not create your own checker?



Thanks to the checker program, you will be able to check whether the list of instructions generated by the push\_swap program actually sorts the stack properly.



The bonus part will only be assessed if the mandatory part is perfect. Perfect means the mandatory part has been fully completed and functions without errors. In this project, this entails validating all benchmarks without exception. If you have not passed ALL the mandatory requirements, your bonus part will not be evaluated at all.

### VIII.1 The "checker" program

<b>Program Name</b>	checker
<b>Files to Submit</b>	*.h, *.c
<b>Makefile</b>	bonus
<b>Arguments</b>	stack a: A list of integers
<b>External Function</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• read, write, malloc, free, exit</li><li>• ft_printf or any equivalent YOU coded</li></ul>
<b>Libft authorized</b>	Yes
<b>Description</b>	Execute the sorting instructions

- Write a program named `checker` that takes as an argument the stack `a` formatted as a list of integers. The first argument should be at the top of the stack (be careful about the order). If no argument is given, it stops and displays nothing.
- It will then wait and read instructions from the standard input, with each instruction followed by `'\n'`. Once all the instructions have been read, the program has to execute them on the stack received as an argument.
- If after executing those instructions, the stack `a` is actually sorted and the stack `b` is empty, then the program must display `"OK"` followed by a `'\n'` on the standard output.
- In every other case, it must display `"KO"` followed by a `'\n'` on the standard output.
- In case of error, you must display `"Error"` followed by a `'\n'` on the **standard error**. Errors include for example: some arguments are not integers, some arguments are bigger than an integer, there are duplicates, an instruction doesn't exist and/or is incorrectly formatted.

```
$>./checker 3 2 1 0
rra
pb
sa
rra
pa
OK
$>./checker 3 2 1 0
sa
rra
pb
KO
$>./checker 3 2 one 0
Error
$>./checker "" 1
Error
$>
```



You DO NOT have to reproduce the exact same behavior as the provided binary. It is mandatory to manage errors but it is up to you to decide how you want to parse the arguments.

# Chapter IX

## Submission and peer-evaluation

Submit your assignment in your `Git` repository as usual. Only the work inside your repository will be evaluated during the defense. Don't hesitate to double check the names of your files to ensure they are correct.

As these assignments are not verified by a program, feel free to organize your files as you wish, as long as you turn in the mandatory files and comply with the requirements.

During the evaluation, a brief **modification of the project** may occasionally be requested. This could involve a minor behavior change, a few lines of code to write or rewrite, or an easy-to-add feature.

While this step may **not be applicable to every project**, you must be prepared for it if it is mentioned in the evaluation guidelines.

This step is meant to verify your actual understanding of a specific part of the project. The modification can be performed in any development environment you choose (e.g., your usual setup), and it should be feasible within a few minutes — unless a specific timeframe is defined as part of the evaluation.

You can, for example, be asked to make a small update to a function or script, modify a display, or adjust a data structure to store new information, etc.

The details (scope, target, etc.) will be specified in the **evaluation guidelines** and may vary from one evaluation to another for the same project.